## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI ABERDEEN DIVISION

**FELICIA ROBINSON** 

**PLAINTIFFS** 

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO: 1:19-CV-00121-SA-DAS

WEBSTER COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI; WEBSTER COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; TIM MITCHELL; SANTANA TOWNSEND; DAREN PATTERSON; and JOHN DOES 1-30

**DEFENDANTS** 

## MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF DISMISSAL OF WEBSTER COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT UNDER STATE LAW

**NOW COMES DEFENDANT,** Webster County Sheriff's Department, by counsel, and respectfully submits its Memorandum of supporting Authorities which warrant dismissal of all claims under state law:

- 1. PREMISE: Webster County Sheriff's Department is not a proper defendant under state law. Official claims under state law are not authorized against a governmental entity.
- **2. STANDARD:** "The MTCA is the exclusive remedy for filing a lawsuit against governmental entities and [their] employees." *S. Cent. Reg'l Med. Ctr. vs. Guffy*, 930 So.2d 1252, 1255 (¶7)(Miss. 2006).
- 3. **POLITICAL SUBDIVISION:** *Miss. Code Ann.* § 11-46-1(i) (Supp. 2015) states that a political subdivision is any body politic or body corporate other than the State responsible for governmental activities only in geographic areas smaller than that of the State, including, but not limited to, any county, municipality, school district, charter school, volunteer fire department that is a chartered nonprofit corporation providing emergency services under contract with a county or

1

municipality, community hospital as defined in *Miss. Code Ann.* § 41-13-10, airport authority, or other instrumentality of the State, whether or not the body or instrumentality has the authority to levy taxes or to sue or be sued in its own name.

The Supreme Court of Mississippi has recognized the Mississippi Tort Claims Act (MTCA) requirement that a governmental entity, including a political subdivision, against which a money judgment is sought must be named as a defendant, unless the action is brought solely against an employee acting outside the scope of his employment. *Brown v. Thompson*, 927 So. 2d 733, 734 (Miss. 2006). "Body politic" has been defined as "a body incorporated by the state and charged with the performance of a public duty, such as a . . . corporate body created for the sole purpose of performing one or more municipal functions." *Urban Renewal Agency of Aberdeen v. Tackett*, 255 So. 2d 904, 905 (Miss. 1971). And "governmental activities" under the MTCA "are those which are performed pursuant to the act of statute or are a matter of public necessity." *Spencer v. Greenwood/Leflore Airport Auth.*, 834 So. 2d 707, 711 (¶12) (Miss. 2003).

The case of *Barnes v. City of Canton, Mississippi and the Canton Convention and Visitors Bureau*, 207 So. 3d 1272, 1275 (Miss. App. 2016) helps explain the distinction. There, the Canton Convention and Visitors Bureau was set up separately by statute and funded by a tax, the proceeds of which were deposited into a separate account dedicated solely to the bureau's activities. The legislature vested the bureau with a variety of powers to carry out duties for the city—primarily, promoting tourism for the benefit of the city and its citizens.

In the case of a county - it is the proper party, not its related departments (such as the board of supervisors or the department of public works.). *Hearn v. Bd. of Supervisors*, 575 F. App'x 239, 243 (5th Cir. 2014). The capacity of a police department to be sued is also determined according to

Mississippi law. Under Mississippi law, a police department is not a separate legal entity that may

be sued. Rather, it is an extension of the city where it is located. Miss. Code Ann. § 21-17-1(1)

(municipalities are distinct legal entities); Miss. Code Ann. § 21-21-1, et seq. (municipalities may

create and fund police departments); Jackson v. City of Gulfport, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 22327, at

\*4 (S.D. Miss. Feb. 16, 2017) (Dismissing the Gulfport Police Department). See Also: Knight v. Lee,

2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 85378, 2006 WL 3406741, at \*3 (E.D. La., Nov. 20, 2006) (a police

department is not a legal entity capable of being sued); Walker v. City of Gulfport, 2014 U.S. Dist.

LEXIS 170931, at \*3 n.2 (S.D. Miss. Dec. 10, 2014)(a police department does not enjoy a separate

legal existence apart from the city.); and, Stovall v. City of Hattiesburg, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS

49145, 2010 WL 1980313, at \*1-2 (S.D. Miss. May 17, 2010) (dismissing the Hattiesburg Police

Department since the City of Hattiesburg was the proper party).

In short, for a state law tort claim arising from an act or omission by an employee of Webster

County, Mississippi, who was acting within the course and scope his or her employment, Webster

County, Mississippi, is the proper defendant.

**RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,** this the 15<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2019.

JACKS | GRIFFITH | LUCIANO, P.A.

By: /s/ Daniel J. Griffith

Daniel J. Griffith, MS Bar No. 8366 Mary McKay Griffith, MS Bar No. 100785 Attorneys for Defendant Webster County

Sheriff's Department

Of Counsel:

JACKS | GRIFFITH | LUCIANO, P.A.

P. O. Box 1209

3

150 North Sharpe Avenue Cleveland, MS 38732 telephone: (662) 843-6171 facsimile: (662) 843-6176

Email: dgriffith@jlpalaw.com

mgriffith@jlpalaw.com

www.jlpalaw.com

cell: (662) 721-7323

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Daniel J. Griffith, attorney of record for Defendants, Tim Mitchell (Officially) and Santana Townsend (Officially), do hereby certify that I have this day caused a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Memorandum in Support of Dismissal of Webster County Sheriff's Department under State Law to be delivered by the ECF Filing System which gave notice to all counsel of record who have appeared herein.

> Matthew D. Wilson, Esq. Law Office of Matthew Wilson, PLLC 212 East Main Street Starkville, MS 39759 Phone: (662) 312-5039

Email: starkvillelawyer@gmail.com

**Attorney for Plaintiff** 

William R. Allen, Esq. Allen, Allen, Breeland & Allen, PLLC 214 Justice Street P.O. Box 751 Brookhaven, MS 39602

Phone: (601) 833-4361

Email: wallen@aabalegal.com

Attorney for Defendants Tim Mitchell and Santana

Townsend, Individually

**DATED** this 15<sup>th</sup> day of Webster, 2019.

/s/ **Daniel J. Griffith** 

Daniel J. Griffith